

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/697,917 Examiner Qing-Yuan Wu | Applicant(s) TUEL, ANTHONY R. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2194</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> | Art Unit 2194 | |
| Art Unit 2194 | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Qing-Yuan Wu

(2) John W. LaBatt

Date of Interview: 8/11/08-8/12/08

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:
Claims 13 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed possible solutions for overcoming the outstanding 35 USC 101 rejection. Amendment to claim 18 is made to explicitly exclude "transmission media" as recited in the specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)